

School Streets Analysis

- SS07 Harris Academy Coleraine Park (launched April 2021, extd Dec 21)
- SS21 Coleridge Primary School (launched Sept 2021)
- SS22 Earlham Primary School (launched Sept 2021)
- SS23 The Mulberry Primary School (launched Sept 2021)
- SS08 Harris Academy Tottenham (launched March 2022)

1. Summary
2. Themes, across these five schools
3. Themes, by school (and by support / object)

1. Summary of the 5 schools

	In general, what do you think about the proposed school s...									
	Strongly support		Support		No view either way		Object		Strongly object	
	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Harris Academy (Coleraine Pk)	19	41%	5	11%	2	4%	2	4%	18	39%
Coleridge Primary	100	50%	28	14%	0	0%	17	8%	56	28%
Mulberry Primary	26	44%	12	20%	0	0%	8	14%	13	22%
Earlham Primary	6	29%	5	24%	0	0%	5	24%	5	24%
Harris Academy (Ashley Rd)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
Total	151	45%	50	15%	2	1%	32	10%	97	29%

		Support / Object					
		Support		Object		No view	
School Name		Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
	Harris Academy (Coleraine Pk)	24	52%	20	43%	2	4%
	Coleridge Primary	128	64%	73	36%	0	0%
	Mulberry Primary	38	64%	21	36%	0	0%
	Earlham Primary	11	52%	10	48%	0	0%
	Harris Academy (Ashley Rd)	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%
	Total	201	61%	129	39%	2	1%

(Strongly support is included with support) (Strongly object is included with object)

Level 1S River Park House
225 High Road,
London N22 8HQ

020 8489 1000

The main support for School Streets is from pupils and their parents. Residents are supportive in general, but many are concerned about access issues as well as traffic and parking congestion being displaced to other roads. Traffic displacement to other roads was not a major issue when the School streets were installed but it is now a growing concern.

2 Themes overall

		Count	Col %
Cat.	Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	90	27%
	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	84	25%
	Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	70	21%
	Object. Penalises many residents, Restricts deliveries / services. Money-making scheme	27	8%
	Support in principal, but am concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	17	5%
	No comments	15	5%
	Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	14	4%
	Principle may be OK but I do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	13	4%
	Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	2	1%
	Total	332	100%

3 Themes by School

	School Name									
	Harris Academy (Coleraine Pk)		Coleridge Primary		Mulberry Primary		Earlham Primary		Harris Academy (Ashley Rd)	
	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	4	9%	46	23%	29	49%	11	52%	0	0%
Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	14	30%	64	32%	6	10%	0	0%	0	0%
Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	10	22%	46	23%	10	17%	3	14%	1	20%
Object. Penalises many residents, Restricts deliveries / services. Money-making scheme	4	9%	13	6%	4	7%	3	14%	3	60%
Support in principal, but am concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	4	9%	12	6%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%
No comments	2	4%	8	4%	3	5%	2	10%	0	0%
Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	4	9%	6	3%	2	3%	1	5%	1	20%
Principle may be OK but I do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	2	4%	6	3%	4	7%	1	5%	0	0%
Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	46	100%	201	100%	59	100%	21	100%	5	100%

3a Themes by Respondent status

It is interesting to see that support is clearly split between residents, and parents/pupils in that residents tend to support a reduction in careless and obstructive parking - including parking in front of driveways. Support from parents and pupils is more focused on wider road safety benefits.

The main objections to the schemes are based on residents' concerns that traffic and parking congestion are displaced to other roads. This is not a significant concern for parents and pupils unless, they need to drive to the school because they don't live in the immediate local area

	Responding as			
	Resident Col %	Business Col %	Teacher / school staff Col %	Parent / pupil Col %
Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	28%	33%	0%	26%
Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	15%	0%	33%	50%
Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	25%	67%	33%	10%
Object. Penalises many residents, Restricts deliveries / services. Money-making scheme	10%	0%	0%	4%
Support in principal, but am concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	5%	0%	33%	5%
No comments	5%	0%	0%	3%
Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	5%	0%	0%	2%
Principle may be OK but I do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	6%	0%	0%	0%
Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	1%	0%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The consultations are only carried out in a very local area and therefore tend to get a higher representation amongst parents and pupils. Businesses, along with residents with mobility and related conditions which require ready access by goods and services, are less widely represented in these local consultations.